

From: Phil Crier  
To: James Parry  
cc. Joanne Boyle  
Sent: 17<sup>th</sup> November 2023, 10.29

Dear Mr Parry

I refer to my 7th November email below and note that I have not received a reply.

Please find attached an updated version of the AMG Proposed Revised Conditions (dated 17th November 2023) for your information. Apart from a couple of minor amendments to wording, the only change of any significance is that my clients are now happy to accept the proposed wording to condition 1.2 in relation to the Alcohol Management Plan and so this has been marked on the attached Word document as AGREED.

In addition to the Revised Conditions Word document referred to above, I have also prepared a Licence Conditions Table (see attached) which sets out in table format the TC Proposed Conditions (taken from your 18th October document), AMG's proposed revision (or agreement), and the reason for the proposed revision (where relevant) in column 3. There are two further columns in the Table for you to indicate TC's agreement or revised proposal and in the final column the explanation (where relevant) for the proposed revision.

I intend to submit both the AMG Revised Proposed Conditions document and also the Licence Conditions Table for the Licensing Sub Committee Hearing on 11th December 2023 and would be obliged if you could complete columns 4 and 5 of the Table at your earliest convenience.

It is obviously important for committee members and officers to have a full understanding of which conditions have been agreed and those that remain in dispute together with any proposals for alternative wording from both parties to help facilitate the hearing.

In relation to the EMP documentation, can I please ask you to confirm if the EMP and appendices sent to you on the 5th October 2023, with the updated version of the EMP and additional balcony access controls appendix AA1 sent to you on the 16th October in response to your queries, is accepted by the Responsible Authorities who you represent?

I asked whether you have any queries or comments on the EMP documentation in my 7th November email and would be obliged for a response please. This is important to help committee members to identify issues that remain in dispute for an effective hearing on the 11th December and, if you are not able to confirm the authority is satisfied on the EMP documentation, then I shall need to submit all the documents to form part of the Agenda papers for that hearing. I should advise that there will be considerable additional statements and documentation that AMG will be submitting for the hearing and I will copy you in on these emails.

I also wish to inform you as a professional courtesy that AMG will be represented by Philip Kolvin KC from 11KBW Chambers at the hearing on 11th December 2023 and I shall also be in attendance.

I look forward to your early response and if you have any other queries or consider that a further meeting prior to the Hearing would be helpful please let me know.

Regards

Phil Crier

*PBC Licensing Solicitors*

*Compton House*

*79 New Road, Ascot*

*Berkshire SL5 8PZ*

*Email: [Phil.Crier@pbclicensing.co.uk](mailto:Phil.Crier@pbclicensing.co.uk)*

*Tel: 01344 566764*

*Mob: 07899 065264*